

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

11 IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
12 PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT
LITIGATION

Case No. 3:23-md-03084-CRB

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
DEFENDANTS UBER TECHNOLOGIES,
INC., RASIER, LLC, AND RASIER-CA,
LLC'S MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS'
MASTER LONG-FORM COMPLAINT
PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA LAW**

13 This Document Relates to:
14

15 *Jane Doe LSA 340 v. Uber Technologies,*
Inc., et al., 3:23-cv-01165-CRB

16 *H.D. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
17 3:23-cv-04617-CRB

18 *M.F.A. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
19 3:23-cv-05560-CRB

20 *Hunter v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
21 3:23-cv-05622-CRB

22 *T.R. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
23 3:23-cv-05625-CRB

24 *J.B. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
25 3:23-cv-06692-CRB

26 *T.M. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,
27 3:23-cv-06705-CRB
28

Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer
Courtroom: 6 – 17th Floor

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having considered Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Master Long-Form Complaint Pursuant to California Law (the "Motion"), all other papers and evidence submitted in support and opposition, the pertinent pleadings and papers on file in this action, and the arguments of counsel, and all other matters presented to the Court, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Motion is **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____, 2024

HON. CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE